

## **GOVERNMENT OF TELANGANA ABSTRACT**

Public Services – PR & RD Department – Smt. J. Sulochana, former Administrative Officer, Medak District now retired – Committed certain irregularities – Departmental proceedings initiated under Rule 20 of APSCS (CC&A) Rules, 1991 – Charges framed – Inquiry conducted – Disagreement factors communicated – Representation received - Show cause notice issued – Explanation received – Imposing a penalty of 3% (three percent) cut in pension for a period of 1 (one) year imposed under Rule 9 of APRP Rules, 1980 – Orders - Issued.

## PANCHAYAT RAJ & RURAL DEVELOPMENT (VIG.II) DEPARTMENT

## G.O.R.T.No. 57.

**Dated: 11.08.2014**  
**Read the following;**

- 1) Lr.No.1531/2012/A2-Pts., Dt.11.07.2012 of the DPO, Medak District.
  - 2) Charge Memo.No.7082/CPR/G1/2011, Dt.11.07.2012 of the Commissioner, PR&RE, Hyderabad.
  - 3) Explanation dt.01.08.2012 of Smt. J. Sulochana, AO (Retired), Medak District.
  - 4) Procs.No.7082/CPR/G1/2012, Dt.09.07.2013 of the CPR&RE, Hyderabad.
  - 5) Lr.No.B1/2645/2013, Dt.23.10.2013 of the CEO & EO, ZPP Medak District.
  - 6) From the CPR&RE, Hyd., Lr.No.7082/CPR/G1/2012, Dt.07.12.2013
  - 7) Govt.Memo.No.29646/Vig.III-A/13,-1, Dt.31.01.2014.
  - 8) Repn. of the CO Smt. J. Sulochana, AO (Retd.), Medak District, Dt.12.03.2014.
  - 9) Govt.Memo.No.29646/Vig.III-A/2013-2, Dt.21.04.2014.
  - 10) Explanation of Smt. J. Sulochana, AO (Retd.), Medak, Dt.24.04.2014.
  - 11) Govt. Lr.No.29646/Vig.II-A/2013-3, Dt.05.05.2014.
  - 12) From the APPSC, Hyd., Lr.No.785/RT/1/2014, Dt.09.07.2014

<<>>

## **ORDER:**

In the reference 2<sup>nd</sup> read above, disciplinary proceedings were initiated against Smt. J. Sulochana, Administrative Officer, O/o. the District Panchayat Officer, Medak District now retired duly framing the Article of Charges under Rule 20 of A.P. Civil Services (Classification, Control & Appeal) Rules, 1991, on the allegation of she has collected an amount of Rs.4,500/- as bribe from the Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat Sulthnpur of Patanchervu Mandal for giving the orders of Enhancement of fixed staff salaries. She had submitted her written statement of defence (WSD) by denying the charge and requested to drop further action, in reference 3<sup>rd</sup> read above.

2. After considering the written statement of defence of the charged officer, the Chief Executive Officer, ZPP Medak District was appointed as Inquiry Officer to inquire into the charge framed against the Charged Officer and the District Panchayat Officer, Medak was appointed as Presenting Officer to present the case before the Inquiry Officer in support of Article of Charge vide reference 4<sup>th</sup> read above.

3. In the reference 5<sup>th</sup> read above, after conducting regular enquiry the Inquiry Officer has submitted his enquiry report to the Commissioner, Panchayat Raj & Rural Employment, Hyderabad holding that the charges framed against the Charged Officer Smt. J. Sulochana, Administrative Officer (Retired), O/o. the District Panchayat Officer, Medak District are not held proved in the enquiry.

4. In the reference 6<sup>th</sup> read above, the Commissioner, Panchayat Raj & Rural Employment, Hyderabad while enclosing original records in respect of Smt. J. Sulochana, former Administrative Officer, O/o. the District Panchayat Officer, Medak District now retired has requested the Government to issue final orders in the matter, as the Charged Officer is retired from service.

5. Government after examining the matter have decided to differ with the enquiry report on the following disagreement factors;

"If the CO, Smt. J. Sulochana, AO (Retired), O/o. the District Panchayat Officer, Medak has not demanded an amount of Rs.4,500/- as bribe from Smt. P. Anjana Devi, Panchayat Secretary, GP Sultanpur of Patanchervu for giving the orders for enhancement of fixed staff salaries, she could have dispatched the same after approval, but on the contrary she dispatched the same after a lapse of 14 days from the date of approval of the draft proceedings by the District Panchayat Officer with a malafide intention. Government consider that, the I.A. failed to comprehend the evidences properly, especially that of the contrary statements made by Smt. P. Anjana Devi, Panchayat Secretary who is a subordinate to the CO and hence, Government have decided to differ with the Enquiry Report".

6. In the reference 7<sup>th</sup> read above, the above disagreement factor was communicated to the Charged Officer Smt. J. Sulochana, Administrative Officer (Retired), Medak District calling for her representation on the disagreement factor as required under Rule 21(2) of A.P. Civil Services (CC&A) Rules, 1991. Accordingly, she has submitted her representation denying the disagreement factors on Inquiry Authority and requested to drop all further action against her in the reference 8<sup>th</sup> read above.

7. Government, after careful examination of the matter keeping in view of the above facts, have decided to impose a penalty of 3% (three percent) cut in pension for a period of one year against Smt. J. Sulochana, Administrative Officer (Retired), O/o. the District Panchayat Officer, Medak, under Rule 9 of A.P. Revised Pension Rules, 1980.

8. Accordingly, in the reference 9<sup>th</sup> read above, a show-cause notice was issued to the Charged Officer Smt. J. Sulochana, Administrative Officer (Retired), O/o. the District Panchayat Officer, Medak District calling for her explanations as to why a penalty of 3% cut in pension for a period of one year shall not be imposed on her, under rule 9 of A.P. Revised Pension Rules, 1980 and she has submitted her explanation to the show cause notice vide reference 10<sup>th</sup> read above, and requested to drop the proposed penalty. After examining the explanation submitted by the Charged Officer, Government have decided to confirm the provisional decision i.e. imposing a penalty of 3% (three percent) cut in pension for a period of one year on the Charged Officer.

9. In the reference 11<sup>th</sup> read above, the Secretary, A.P. Public Service Commission, Hyderabad was requested for concurrence of the A.P. Public Service Commission to the above decision of the Government and the A.P. Public Service Commission has agreed to the proposal of the Government, to impose a penalty of 3% cut in pension for a period of one year Smt. J. Sulochana, Administrative Officer (Retired), O/o. the District Panchayat Officer, Medak District, vide reference 12<sup>th</sup> read above.

10. Government after careful examination of the matter and having regard to the circumstances of the case, have decided and hereby impose a penalty of 3% (Three percent) cut in pension for a period of one year on the Charged Officer Smt. J. Sulochana, Administrative Officer (Retired), O/o. the District Panchayat Officer, Medak District under Rule 9 of A.P. Revised Pension Rules, 1980.

// 03 //

11. The Commissioner, Panchayat Raj & Rural Employment, Hyderabad shall take necessary action accordingly.

12. The original file bearing No.7082/CPR/G1/2012 of the Commissioner, Panchayat Raj & Rural Employment, Hyderabad containing pages NF. 1-13 and C.F. 1-31 pages received through reference 6<sup>th</sup> read above is returned herewith. The Commissioner, Panchayat Raj & Rural Employment, Hyderabad is requested to acknowledge the same.

(BY ORDER AND IN THE NAME OF THE GOVERNOR OF TELANGANA)

**J.RAYMOND PETER  
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT**

To

- 1) The Individual through the Commissioner, PR&RE, Hyderabad.
- 2) The Commissioner, Panchayat Raj & Rural Employment,  
Telangana State, Hyderabad. (we)

Copy to:-

- 3) The P.S. to Hon'ble Min.(PR&IT)
- 4) The District Collector (PW), Medak District.
- 5) The Secretary, A.P. Public Service Commission, Hyderabad.
- 6) The Accountant General, A.P., Hyderabad.
- 7) The District Panchayat Officer, Medak District.
- 8) SC/SF.

**// FORWARDED BY :: ORDER //**

**SECTION OFFICER.**